A A



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/809,074	03/16/2001	Shinya Nagamatsu	204842US-8	5550
22850	7590. 05/14/2003			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		LEUNG, QUYEN PHAN	
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
		DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/809,074	NAGAMATSU ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Quyen P. Leung	2828			
The MAILING DATE f this communication appe	ears n the cover sheet with the c	corresp ndence address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application (	ation. A proper reply to a hplaces the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this is no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offit timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 3 and 7 would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is		•			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:		Quyen P. Leung Primary Examiner			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 2828			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that regarding claims 1, 2 and 12 "Imai et al. reference does not disclose or suggest such an optical signal, nor does the Official Action cite a portion of the Imai et al. reference for such a teaching." is not persuasive. Imai clearly shows such an optical signal (11). It is not clear from applicant's argument what further structure applicant wishes to imply that Imai is lacking by stating "Imai et al reference... does not produce an optical signal, especially in the sense of an optical transmission system, as recited in Claims 1, 2, and 12."